Sponsored feature

# SURVEY REPORT



CONTINUITY

# SECURITY INTELLIGENCE REPORT CISO Point of View:

Analysis of Storage & Backup Security in the Financial Services & Banking Sector

Maturity, challenges, and gaps

# Introduction

hen organizational data is compromised, the last line of defense lies in the storage and backup environments. Recent years have witnessed an alarming growth in the number and sophistication of data-centered attacks – primarily ransomware<sup>1</sup>.

The fact that so many victims eventually choose to pay the ransom gives rise to serious concerns about the market's storage and backup security maturity. Fueled by the expansive media coverage and dramatic financial repercussions of data-centered crimes, organizations, vendors, and regulators alike are in a race to identify and close the gap.

In search of structured analysis of the market maturity, challenges, and gaps – we were surprised and intrigued to discover that very little work has been done.

This extensive study – the first of its kind – is aimed at addressing the gap. A collaboration between **CISO MAG** and **Continuity**<sup>™</sup>, this survey was conducted between June and August 2021. Our research included 200 financial services firms and banks from 45 countries – the results of which are presented below.

<sup>1)</sup>Closely followed by data theft. Additional risks, that get less media coverage, yet carry grave implications to the victims, include data destruction, and malicious data tampering – sometimes aimed at attacking the victims' entire eco-system (e.g., attacks on ISVs and ISPs)

#### **Key Findings**

- 'significant' or 'catastrophic' impact
- The significance of securing storage and backup recent external audits
- And yet, storage and backup systems are **the two** management programs
- storage and backup security

SECURITY INTELLIGENCE REPORT



Almost 60% of the respondents are **not confident** in their ability to recover from a ransomware attack

systems is widely recognized by Infosec and GRC teams alike, and **over two thirds** of the respondents mentioned it has been specifically addressed in

lowest focus areas of organizations' vulnerability

Continuously changing priorities, organizational silos, and lack of skilled personnel were chosen to be the most prominent challenges to achieving effective

# Methodology

This report is based on the results of an online survey conducted by CISO MAG, in collaboration with Continuity<sup>™</sup>, among CISO MAG's readers and EC-Council's database from June 10 to August 10, 2021. The questionnaire for the survey was drafted by Continuity<sup>™</sup> and was vetted by the technology research departments of both groups. Among the areas covered:

• Scope and focus of organizational vulnerability management,

- Impact of storage attacks,
- Confidence level in the ability to recover from ransomware attacks, and in the security of storage and backup systems,
- What is being protected?
- How security configuration and vulnerabilities are being assessed and measured?
- Top challenges to securing storage and backup
- How mature are the organizational security configuration baselines?

The **200 validated respondents** represent a cross-section of organizations from 45 countries, including USA, UK, China, Singapore, Canada, Australia, Bangladesh, Brazil, Egypt, India, Indonesia, Israel, Italy, Kenya, Malaysia, Mexico, Pakistan, Philippines, Portugal, Russia, Saudi Arabia, South Africa, Sri Lanka, Sweden, and the UAE.



Responses were grouped by topics, and the results of related questions were inspected for consistency. Some incongruities were observed that we believe could shed more light on security specialist thought processes and priorities.

Throughout this document, we have rounded up percentage values to the nearest whole number.



SECURITY INTELLIGENCE REPORT

#### Survey Respondent Profile

#### (In alphabetical order)

In the following sections we offer a more detailed analysis of key aspects of storage and backup security management, including review of participant responses and discussion of findings, as well as observations and conclusions derived from cross-referencing results, and analyzing anomalies and incongruities. The survey aims to showcase how security experts in the banking (45% of the respondents) and financial services sector (55% of the respondents) view the current state of storage security. It also brings to light the challenges and opportunities and the roles and responsibilities of a security professional in the industry.



# Detailed Analysis and Findings

In the following sections we offer a more detailed analysis of key aspects of storage and backup security management, including review of participant responses and discussion of findings, as well as observations and conclusions derived from crossreferencing results, and analyzing anomalies and incongruities.

SECURITY INTELLIGENCE REPORT

# **Confidence Level** in Storage Security and Recoverability

onfidence among business leaders and security staff is associated with factors such as technical capability, availability of resources and infrastructure, existing skillsets, internal and external testing results, visibility and measurement, proven compliance with industry standards, external audit trackrecord, etc.





#### We asked

How confident are you that the storage and backup systems of your organization are wellsecured?

#### We learned

Around 52% of the respondents are not strongly confident about their storage and backup security, with a quarter of which that are significantly concerned (low or no confidence). It is interesting to note the slight incongruity with the response to the next question;





#### We asked

What is the level of confidence you have in the organization's ability to recover data in the event of a ransomware attack?

#### We learned

Slightly more than 59% of the respondents are not confident they can recover from a ransomware attack. As mentioned above, it is interesting to compare this response to the overall confidence in storage and backup security.

One would expect the results to be quite similar, especially since recovery from ransomware is the ultimate test of storage and backup. Yet the 20% difference in certainty<sup>2</sup> suggests that the overall level of confidence in storage and backup security might be even lower than organizations are willing to admit.



<sup>2)</sup> Only slightly less than 41% are confident in their ability to recover from ransomware. 8% more (namely, a total of slightly more than 48%) are confident that their storage and backup are sufficiently secure – that's a fifth (or 20%) more.



# Impact of Storage Attacks

ata breaches, if occurred, irrespective of the vector or mode utilized, have a tremendous impact on organizations – in the form of financial loss, reputational damage, operational downtime, legal action, loss of sensitive data, etc.

For financial and banking organizations in particular, the business value of digital data may be so high, that a wellorchestrated attack on both storage and backup could wipe away a significant amount of the organization's value – potentially affecting entire economies.

# We asked

What impact/severity level would an attack on your central storage and backup systems have on your organization?

#### We learned

Around 69% of respondents believe that any kind of security breach on storage and backup will have a significant to catastrophic impact on their organization. Significant Impact

**Catastrophic Impact** 

Minimal Impact (we could recover data quickly)

Some Impact

Not Applicable (it's unlikely all our data would be lost)



# Scope and Focus of Organizational Vulnerability Management

S torage security could be defined as the operations and procedures involved in making the storage and backup resources available to users accessing it in a secure way<sup>3</sup>.

These parameters are applicable to both hardware and software components, and this survey analyzes it from a wide angle, including elements related to access control, secure communications protocols, organizational policy, data privacy, vulnerability management, configuration management, and other security aspects.

Apart from minimizing the potential security gaps through actions such as vulnerability scanning, the scope of vulnerability management should include disassembling unnecessary services, updating the operating system (including storage arrays and storage networking devices' OS), redundant storage solutions, establishing and informing principles and policies implemented in governing the network use, etc.

Cloud environments must also be part of the vulnerability management program, in order to prevent data loss and threat to information security.

The scope of any vulnerability assessment and management architecture includes asset discovery, scanning for common vulnerability and exposure (CVE), establishing security baselines, prioritizing known vulnerability, threat detection, incident response plans, and complying with information security standards and regulations.



<sup>3)</sup> I.e., over a secured network with strict access controls, permitting only secured/trusted users or devices, with sufficient logging, with comprehensive change management controls, etc.



#### We asked

What does your Vulnerability Management program include (directly or indirectly)?

#### We learned

Threat detection and incident response are the most widely mentioned parts of organizations' Vulnerability Management program (68% of the respondents), followed by CVE scanning (67%), and establishing a security baseline (65%).

It is interesting that asset discovery and vulnerability prioritization are the two least covered areas. With already overworked infosec and IT infrastructure teams, the constant struggle to keep track of dynamic environment changes, and the flood of identified vulnerabilities, present a tremendous challenge.

Realizing that it's not possible to address 100% of the detected issues, the lack of clear prioritization could significantly increase the chances of dangerous vulnerabilities falling in between the cracks.

Similarly, establishing a focus area for vulnerability assessment and management process is an effective step taken towards strengthening your organization's Information Security. This process tends to provide a clear picture of existing vulnerabilities and is focused on assets such as endpoint devices, servers (such as email servers and file servers), network and associated services, databases, storage, backup, applications, etc.

#### We asked

What are the focus areas of your organization's Vulnerability Management program?

#### We learned

The top three focus areas, unsurprisingly, remain network & network services (77%), Servers (73%), and Databases (69%).

Given the criticality of Storage and backup Systems, as analyzed below (see Impact of storage attacks, and Confidence level in storage security and recoverability below), we were surprised to find storage and backup down the list of priorities, as the **two least areas of focus**.

#### **Network & network services**

Servers (including email & file servers)

Databases

Applications

Endpoints

Storage

Backup

Other

			77.08%	
			73.44%	
			69.27%	
		6	5.10%	
		60.4	12%	
		57.29	%	
	46.88	3%		
6.25%				



# Configuration and vulnerabilities: How are they being assessed and measured?

Reducing the attack surface for any given risk, is an essential part of any risk management program. Measuring your adherence to security baselines and standards is a key to success. The more thorough your measurement process is, the better the chances you have to minimize risk.

Of equal importance is the temporal dimension – the sooner you detect that an attack vector exists, the smaller the opportunity window gets for adversaries to exploit the gap. Increasing the frequency and using automation is another important key to reducing exposure.

We presented a series of questions to better understand what is being assessed, as well as how thorough and how frequent is the configuration assessment process.

## We asked

What are you doing today to secure your storage and backup systems?

#### We learned

Continuous scanning of storage is carried out by only 42% of the respondents, and an additional 27% scan storage periodically.

The rest or 30% rely on unstructured processes: Most (around two-thirds) do not currently scan storage, or cann0t tell how storage is being assessed; nearly a third rely exclusively on the IT operations teams to solve the problem, without the supervision of InfoSec or GRC. Our team is continuously scanning vulnerabilities and misconfigurations within these systems

Our team is periodically assessing the security of these systems

We rely entirely on the expertise of the storage and backup administrators for these systems

Our organization plans to invest in securing our storage and backup systems in the next 12 months

l don't know

It is not a priority for our organization at the moment





hen it comes to implementing storage and backup security, many organizations are implementing it across various elements such as block storage, object storage, file storage, serverbased storage, Fibre-Channel storage networks, storage management software, storage virtualization solutions, data protection systems, backup software, and solutions, public cloud storage, etc.



## We asked

What type of storage and backup systems are covered by your infosec program?

#### We learned

Block and File storage, closely followed by server-based storage are the most closely inspected areas.

It is of particular interest to note that Fibre-Channel network elements, and Storage Management solutions are the two least covered areas. Not many organizations realize that gaps in the protection of those two elements could allow adversaries to easily circumvent most existing security controls! For example, it is possible to clone your core servers and export both their OS, software and data (unnoticed by virtually all IDS and DLP implementations), or even tamper with the content of key financial transaction databases, without tripping any wires at the OS or database engine level.

There is a relatively high percentage (24-44%) of respondents for each question that did not know the details of storage security vulnerability management and baseline coverage. In all other questions included in this survey, the percentage of respondents that were not familiar with the details ranged between 5-6%.

Block Storage (Dell EMC VMAX, Hitachi VSP, HPE 3PAR, IBM A9000, etc.)

> **Object Storage** (Dell EMC ECS, HCP, IBM, etc.)

File Storage (Dell EMC Unity, NetApp, Dell EMC Isilon, etc.)

Server-based Storage (VMware VSAN, Dell EMC PowerFlex, Nutanix, etc.)

> Fiber-Channel Storage Network (Brocade, Cisco MDS, HPE virtual connect, etc.)

> Storage Management Software (Dell EMC Solutions Enabler, HPE Inform CLI, Hitachi CCI, etc.)

Storage Virtualization Solutions (Dell EMC VPLEX, IBM SVC, etc.)

Data Protection Systems (Dell EMC Data Domain, RecoverPoint, HPE StoreOnce, etc.)

Backup Software and Solutions (NetBackup, Rubrik, Avamar, Cohesity, Commvault, Veeam, etc.)

Public Cloud Storage (AWS EBS, Azure blobs, AWS S3, etc)

**Vulnerability Scan** 



nother aspect we were interested in investigating is the comprehensiveness of organizations' security baselines, whose importance cannot be overestimated. Lack of sufficiently detailed security baselines in any given area creates a significant blind-spot that not only increases the attack surface and extends the window of opportunity for exploitation, but also leads the organization to a false sense of security.

#### We asked

Does your organization have security configuration baseline and implementation documents defining the minimal security settings required for each data storage and backup solution in use?

#### We learned

Only 46% believe they have carried out a comprehensive job. Surprisingly, 21% do not have any form of security baselines in place, and the rest have only partial coverage. 48.83% Yes, 100% 33.3% Partially (not for all our storage/ backup systems)

6.25% No, but we have plans to

11.98% No, but we should have

2.60% No, and we don't have plans to



# **Security auditing**

security audit is a process used to evaluate the effectiveness of the security risk management program and to determine how well it meets industry and regulatory standards.

Financial Services is one of the most heavily regulated industries. Audits are performed both internally and externally - and tend to evolve year-over-year based on advances in technology, industry regulation changes, and shifts in the threat landscape.

Encompassing storage infrastructure into these audits involves developing storage security assessment and audit procedure as a separate initiative, which is later integrated with regular security practices.

If in the past, IT audits had little explicit reference to storage and backup systems. Evidence now shows that governments and international standards organizations are paying closer attention to these fields.

We were interested to learn how pervasive storage and backup security controls have become as part of IT auditing.



### We asked

Within the last 18 months, did your organization participate in any security audits explicitly addressing your storage and backup?

#### We learned

The majority of the respondents (67.19%) indicated that storage and backup security has been included in recent audits.

67.19%

YES



# Top challenges to securing storage and backup

ata storage, backup, and recovery management have always been demanding tasks, requiring detailed planning, implementation, testing and tuning.

Traditionally, little attention has been paid to storage and backup security; InfoSec teams witnessed relatively little focus from "regular" cyber-criminals (only nationstates had the means to directly attack storage infrastructure), while storage admins have held the (relatively grounded in facts) belief that adding security to storage increases management overhead and gravely impacts performance.

Both these assumptions have long become irrelevant.

Storage and backup compromise are at the heart of all current ransomware kits, and modern storage infrastructure allows tremendous amount of hardening with virtually no performance impact and with far less complexity than in the past.

Yet, as many assume (and as this report clearly demonstrates), most organizations, especially those in the financial services & banking sector, have not yet reached sufficient maturity in terms of storage and backup security. We wanted to understand what was holding them back.

#### We asked

What are your top challenges (e.g., barriers) in securing your storage and backup?

#### We learned

The top factors that slow down storage and backup security evolution include: continually changing requirements, silos within the organization (infosec, IT infrastructure, GRC), and shortage of knowledge and skills<sup>4</sup>.

It is interesting to note that very few organizations believe that adopting storage and backup security is of low priority, or is not required by GRC groups. Continuously changing requirements and priorities

Organizational silos between storage/ infrastructure, security, and GRC

Shortage of security personnel/skills/ time

> Insufficient budget/ funding

Lack of management buy-in

Lack of buy-in from storage & infrastructure team

No requirements by the compliance team

It's not a priority for us right now







<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4)</sup>This is reflected not only by being the items most frequently picked up from the multi-choice list – but were also flagged by an overwhelming number of responders. Some of the lower-rating items were flagged by far fewer participants.



# Conclusion

Storage and backup security is an evolving practice. Given how lucrative organizational data has become – and its growing business values, it is important to realize that we are all in an arms-race with cyber criminals.

The fact that so many recent datacentered attacks succeed, and the alarming percentage of organizations that have elected to pay to get their data back – rather than rely on their own capabilities, illustrates the gravity of the hour.

The honest feedback provided by participants of this survey show that there is much to be desired. Most financial services firms and banks have not yet reached a satisfactory level of storage and backup maturity.

Key opportunities for improvement include:

- Assigning higher priority to improving the security of storage and backup
- Building knowledge and skill sets and improving collaboration between Infosec and IT infrastructure teams

#### SECURITY INTELLIGENCE REPORT

- Defining comprehensive security baselines for all components of storage and backup
- Using automation to reduce exposure to risk, and allow much more agility in adapting to changing priorities
- Applying much stricter controls and more comprehensive testing of storage security and the ability to recover from an attack. This will not only improve confidence, but will also help identify key data assets that might not meet the required level of data protection
- All aspects of storage and backup management should be covered, including often overlooked key components such as Fibre-Channel network devices, management consoles, etc.

#### About Continuity<sup>™</sup>

With the rise in cybersecurity threats, Continuity<sup>™</sup> is the only solution provider that helps enterprises protect their data by securing their storage systems – both on-premises and in the cloud. Continuity's StorageGuard<sup>™</sup> complements existing data-protection and vulnerability management solutions, by adding a layer of security that prevents attackers from penetrating storage and backup systems which can result in gaining control over practically all of an enterprise's critical data.

Among Continuity's customers are the world's largest financial services firms and Fortune 500 enterprises, including six of the top 10 US banks. For more information, please visit: <a href="http://www.continuitysoftware.com">www.continuitysoftware.com</a>



#### **About the Authors**

Doron Pinhas CTO, Continuity

#### **Additional Credits**

On behalf of Continuity™ the survey was promoted and managed by EC-Council's Cyber Research and CISO MAG teams. The authors would like to extend their gratitude to

**Niamath SK** Team Lead EC-Council Cyber Research

**Rahul Jangowli** Assistant Manager EC-Council Cyber Research **Jyoti Punjabi** Deputy Business Head CISO MAG

**Jeevan Rao Jinaga** Graphic Designer CISO MAG